Questions and Answers about Flexible Work Schedules:
A Sloan Work and Family Research Network Fact Sheet

Introduction
The Sloan Work and Family Research Network has prepared Fact Sheets which provide statistical answers to some important questions about work-family and work/life issues. This Fact Sheet includes statistics about Flexible Work Schedules. (Last updated: December 2006.)

Do people have flexible work schedules?

☑ Fact 1 According to the 2005 NSE, "there has been an increase in daily flextime: in 1998, 24% of employers allowed at least some employees to change their starting and quitting times on a daily basis; in 2005, 31% did so" (Bond, Galinsky, Kim, & Brownfield, 2005, p. 2).

☑ Fact 2 According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 28.8% of full-time wage and salary workers in 2001 had flexible schedules (U.S. Census Bureau, 2003, p. 15).

☑ Fact 3 According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 2001, 31.2% of employed (full-time) persons age 65 and over used flexible schedules (U.S. Census Bureau, 2003, p. 15).

Which employees have access to flexible work schedules?

☑ Fact 1 “Nonwhites are about 50% to 60% less likely than whites to be on a flexible work schedule” (Golden, 2001, p. 57).

☑ Fact 2 “In May 2004, men continued to be somewhat more likely to have flexible schedules than women (28.1 and 26.7 percent, respectively)” (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2005, p. 2).

☑ Fact 3 “Twice as many (small business) owners as (wage and salaried) employees are able to change their starting and quitting times within some range of hours” (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 57).
Fact 4  Of a sample of respondents employed in public service organizations, employees in “management used this program to a significantly greater extent than non-management (employees)” (Kossek, DeMarr, Backman, & Kollar, 1993, p. 632).

Fact 5  “Of those respondents reporting that a job is unsuitable (for flexible work scheduling), 79% are full-time workers and 43% are managers or professionals” (Brewer, 2000, p. 39).

Fact 6  “Married workers are significantly more likely than unmarried workers to have a flexible work schedule, although the magnitude of significance is small—on the order of about 8 percent” (Golden, 2001, p. 57).

Which employees are using flexible schedules?

Fact 1  “Women are somewhat more likely (79%) than men (68%) to use flextime when it is available” (Galinsky, Bond, & Hill, 2004, p. 6).

Fact 2  “Employed, married women with household before tax income levels ranging from $10,000 to $74,999 have decreased odds of using flextime compared with those having household incomes of $75,000 and above” (Billings & Sharpe, 1999, p. 92).

Fact 3  “Having a young child less than six years of age significantly increased the odds of working a flextime schedule after controlling for other factors” (Billings & Sharpe, 1999, p. 92).

Fact 4  “...roughly equal proportions of young women and men in this age group (Generation X) work flexible schedules. In addition, 25- to 34-year old women were more likely than women of other ages to have this kind of flexibility in their jobs” (DiNatale & Boraas, 2002, p. 13).

Are flexible work schedules important to employees?

Fact 1  “For men in their 20s and 30s, and for women in their 20s, 30s, and 40s, the most important job characteristic is having a work schedule that allows them to spend time with their families” (Radcliffe Public Policy Center with Harris Interactive, 2000, p. 2).

Fact 2  “(Generation X) Americans are more likely than their (Generation X) Canadian counterparts to leave (their jobs) for more control over their work schedules (66 percent compared to 50 percent, respectively), flexibility (59 percent compared to 46 percent, respectively), the ability to work fewer hours (48 percent compared to 37 percent, respectively), and/or the ability to telecommute (50 percent compared to 32 percent, respectively)” (Catalyst, 2001, p. 25).

Fact 3  “Among U.S. (Generation X) respondents, women (83 percent) are much more likely than men (69 percent) to report that flexible work arrangements are important to career advancement and satisfaction” (Catalyst, 2001, p. 31).
Fact 4  “Based on a 5-point scale, where 5 is strongly agree and 1 is strongly disagree, managers generally intended to use flextime (3.75) and did not intend to use part-time work (1.95) or leaves (1.75) in the future” (Kossek, Barber, & Winters, 1999, p. 37).

How do employees benefit from flexible work schedules?

Fact 1  Fifty percent of employees who have high access to flexible work arrangements on the job report high levels of life satisfaction (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 39).

Fact 2  According to the National Study of the Changing Workforce, “employees who have more access to flexible work arrangements report fewer mental health problems” (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 39).

Fact 3  In 2002, 32% of wage and salaried workers with high availability of flexible work arrangements report no interference of job and family life (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 38).

Fact 4  In 2002, 34% of wage and salaried employees who have high access to flexible work arrangement report “low levels of negative spillover from job to home” (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 39).

How do flexible work schedules affect employees’ relationships with their employers?

Fact 1  According to the National Study of the Changing Workforce, in 2002, 73% of employees with high availability of flexible work arrangements reported that there was a high likelihood that they would stay with their current employer for the next year (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 34).

Fact 2  In 2002, 39% of employees with high availability of flexible work arrangements reported, “high levels of loyalty and willingness to work harder than required to help their employers succeed” (Bond, Thompson, Galinsky, & Prottas, 2002, p. 34).

Fact 3  “Only 9% of company representatives responding to the 2005 NSE survey feel that the use of flexible time and leave policies jeopardizes employees’ opportunities for advancement” (Bond, Galinsky, Kim, & Brownfield, 2005, p. 13).

Which employers offer flexible work schedules?

Fact 1  “More than 80% of companies with minorities in 25 percent or more of top executive positions offer traditional flextime versus 64 percent of companies with no minorities in key positions” (Galinsky & Bond, 1998, p. xiii).

Fact 2  “Eighty-two percent of companies with women in half or more of their top executive positions provide traditional flextime, compared with 56 percent of companies with no women in top positions” (Galinsky & Bond, 1998, p. xii).
Fact 3  “Companies with more locations are more likely to provide traditional flextime...” (Galinsky & Bond, 1998, p. xv).

Fact 4  Sixty-eight percent of organizations allow some employees to periodically change starting or quitting times. Thirty-three percent allow all or most employees to do so. (Bond, Galinsky, Kim, & Brownfield, 2005, p. 6)

Fact 5  Small organizations [50-99 employees] are more likely than large organizations [1000+ employees] to allow all or most employees to periodically change starting or quitting times—37% and 26% respectively. (Bond, Galinsky, Kim, & Brownfield, 2005, p. 6)

Do employers support flexible work schedules?

Fact 1  According to the 2005 NSE, “31% (of employers) report that management rewards those within the organization who support effective flexible work arrangements” (Bond, Galinsky, Kim, & Brownfield, 2005, p. 4)

Fact 2  “Only 9% of company representatives responding to the 2005 NSE survey feel that the use of flexible time and leave policies jeopardizes employees’ opportunities for advancement” (Bond, Galinsky, Kim, & Brownfield, 2005, p. 13).

Fact 3  “Some 24.8% of respondents’ organizations support flexitime compared to 14.5% (compressed work week) and 6.6% (telecommuting)” (Brewer, 2000, p. 38).

How do employers benefit from flexible work schedules?

Fact 1  “Only 18 percent of companies offering one or more flexible work arrangements perceive the costs of their investments in these policies as outweighing the benefits, while 36 percent perceive these programs as cost-neutral and 46 percent perceive a positive return on their investments” (Galinsky & Bond, 1998, p. ii).

Fact 2  There was a stronger relationship between flextime and positive outcomes such as organizational commitment and job satisfaction for managers who had children under the age of 18 (compared to those who did not have children under the age of 18) (Scandura & Lankau, 1997, p. 388).

The Network has additional resources related to this topic.

1. You can find a topic page for Research/Teaching dedicated to Flexible Work Schedules at: http://wfnetwork.bc.edu/academics.php (choose ‘Flexible Work Schedules’ from the Topics List).

If you are interested in this topic from the State Policy or Workplace Practice perspective,
   a) go back to our home page www.bc.edu/wfnetwork/
   b) click on the appropriate user group
c) and choose ‘Flexible Work Schedules’ from the Topics List

2. Our database of academic literature contains the citations and annotations of literature related to the issue of Flexible Work Schedules. You can connect to this database at:
   http://library.bc.edu/F?func=find-b-0&local_base=BCL_WF
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